ER9-4119a

DOSUMENT NO.

NO CILARES IN CLASS.

D FEELASSIFIED
EL .55. CHANCEN TO: YS S C
EL AT REVIEW DATE:

ACTUS: HR 75-2

DATE: 25/2-8 REVIEWER:

17 June 1957

STANT

Honorable Francis E. Walter House of Representatives Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Walter:

In your letter of 5 June 1957 you asked for our comments on H. R. 7915, which you recently introduced. We have long been concerned with the very serious problem of the relation of confidential information to court actions, one facet of which was explored in the Jencks case. Not only is this Agency custodian of a great amount of information classified in the interest of national defense and security, but also I am charged by the Congress with responsibility for the pretection of intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure.

co'é

We have been faced from time to time with situations in which matters under consideration by the courts of this country involved such classified information or the possible revolution in open court of intelligence sources and methods. It has been our practice in these cases to consult with the Department of Justice for guidance on the legal technicalities involved.

i am constrained, therefore, to leave to the Department of Justice comment on the constitutional issues, the problems of due process and the procedural and practical

(EXECUTIVE DESIGNATION FILE - 1974

aspects of H.R. 7915. In general, however, we would enderse any additional legislative protection to our security problems which would be consistent with the American concept of the administration of justice. I appreciate, therefore, the opportunity to review your efforts in this direction as contained in H.R. 7915.

The Bureau of the Budget has advised that it interposes no objection to the submission of this report.

Sincerely,

12

Allen W. Dulles Director

Legislative Counsel

Roger

Asst. Dir. for Legislative Reference

Bureau of the Budget

OGC:LRH:jeb

Rewritten: IG/LC/NSPaul:fm (14 June 57)

Distribution:

0 & 1 - Addr.

1 - DCI√X 1 - DDCI

1) - ER

1 - Dir/Security

1 - General Counsel w/ basic

2 - Legislative Counsel

Buck slip JSE to Mr. Houston:
Please note that the boss deleted
from the second paragraph "as we
feel it is not within our competence
to pass on such matters", since he
felt **/*/*/*/*/*/*/*/*/*/*/*/*/*/*
that possibly at some future date
we might wish to "invoke this
prerogative".

STAT

25X1 STAT



CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

Honorable Francis E. Walter House of Representatives Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Walter:

In your letter of 5 June 1957 you asked for our comments on H.R. 7915, which you recently introduced. We have long been concerned with the very serious problem of the relation of confidential information to court actions, one facet of which was explored in the Jencks case. Not only is this Agency custodian of a great amount of information classified in the interest of national defense and security, but also I am charged by the Congress with responsibility for the protection of intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure.

We have been faced from time to time with situations in which matters under consideration by the courts of this country involved such classified information or the possible revelation in open court of intelligence sources and methods. It has been our practice in these cases to consult with the Department of Justice for guidance on the legal technicalities involved, as we feel it is not within our competence to pass on such matters.

I am constrained, therefore, to leave to the Department of Justice comment on the constitutional issues, the problems of due process and the procedural and practical

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE DIRECTOR

bill, H. R. 7915, designed to protect confidential information of the Executive Branch from release on demand to defendants in criminal cases. The purpose is to overcome the recent ruling of the Supreme Court in the Jencks case. Congressman Walter has requested our comments on his bill. I believe we should maintain that comment on the legal aspects is the prerogative of the Department of Justice and have drafted the attached response accordingly.

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101 WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

STAT